UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,580	01/16/2001	Keiko Mamiya	FUJY 18.239	4309
	7590 07/03/200 Zavis Rosenman	EXAMINER		
575 Madison Avenue			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/760,580	MAMIYA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RASHA S. AL AUBAIDI	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2, 15-16 and 21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the le drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This in response to amendment filed 04/28/2008. No claims have been added. Claim 24 has been canceled. Claims 1-2, 16 and 21 have been amended. Claims 1-2, 15-16 and 21 are still pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The 112 rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 2, 15, 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fox (U.S Patent No. 6,363,143) in view of Osman et al. (U.S Patent No 5,920,614) and further in view of Hammond (US PAT # 5,479,487).

Fox limitations and features are already addressed and presented in the previous office action (Final- action submitted and mailed 07/06/2007).

However, in regard to the limitations of "selecting, <u>by the calling party</u>" (as recited in claims 1, 2 and 21), "selecting, <u>at the calling terminal</u>" (as recited in claims 15 and 16) and "select, <u>by the first subscriber</u>" (as recited in claim 24), Fox does not teach clearly the fact of <u>providing information related to the called party</u> (the person who is being called) and have these information available to the calling party.

Nevertheless, Osman et al. teach a method for providing information to a caller about the subscriber (called party). For example, Osman discloses the information can be the present physical location of a subscriber with a telephone number (see abstract and col. 2, lines 8-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of providing the calling

subscriber about information related to other services that the called party subscriber too, as taught by Osman, into the Fox system in order to provide the calling party with more flexibility and diversity while handling calls or communicating with the called party. When the calling party informed that the called subscriber at this moment in certain geographical location he/she can have the option of reaching the called party at this current location or not.

Neither Fox nor Osman alone or in combination specifically teach "providing information related to another communication service subscribers to by the subscriber of the communication counter party that wants to establish the communication for connecting to the calling terminal".

However, Hammond teaches in a calling center a caller who is unable to reach a busy agent may choose to leave e message for that agent. Leaving a message is analogous to the claimed feature of "providing information related to another communication service".

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of "providing the caller with another communication option (i.e., leaving a voice mail message), as taught by Hammond, into the combination of Fox in view of Osman in order to provide the caller/calling party with more flexibility. Allowing the caller/calling party to choose and decide whether to leave a

message, abandon the call, or select another number that is provided by the called person will add speed and convenience as well.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,580 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571)

272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614